UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/608,085           | 06/30/2003                                     | Yong-Sup Hwang       | 8733.873.00-US      | 8100             |
|                      | 7590 11/05/200<br><b>DNG &amp; ALDRIDG</b> E L | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1900 K STREE         | T, NW  | SCHECHTER, ANDREW M  |                     |                  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006 |  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |  | 2871                 |                     |                  |
|                      |  |                      |                     |                  |
|                      |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |  |                      | 11/05/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/608,085      | HWANG ET AL. |  |
|                 |              |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |

|  | ANDREW SCHECHTER  | 2871  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiors:  | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance                 | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   |   |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed waternoon.</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l  | out prior to the date of filing a brief.  | will not be entered be  | cause                                    |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul>  | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | ΓE below);  |  |
| (c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or   | ter form for appeal by materially rec   | ducing or simplifying th  | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   |   | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  | * **  | l' ( <b>A</b> )   ( ( )   | TOL 004)                                 |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>   |   | mpliant Amendment (I  | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   | imely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   | l be entered and an ex  | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,9-12,14,15,17-20,25-28,30 and 31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fails   | s to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach   | ed.                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan   | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |   |  |
|  | /Andrew Schechter/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 2871  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments would require further search and/or consideration to determine if they contain patentable subject matter.